

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/752,641	BAGNALL, GARY WAYNE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elizabeth A. Shaw	3644	

All Participants:
Status of Application: allowance pending

 (1) Elizabeth A. Shaw.

(3) _____.

 (2) Joseph Swan.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 March 2006
Time: 3:30 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 and 103

Claims discussed:

1, 5 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

Tominaga

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The claims under appeal were deemed allowable but it was felt that prosecution could be reopened on claims 1 and 5 using the previous reference. Suggestions were made to incorporate previously allowed subject matter into claims 1 and 5 to avoid reopening prosecution. See Examiner's Amendment..